

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,252	01/09/2002	Tetsuro Yoshimoto	60188-141	1962	
20277 7	590 12/28/2005	EXAMINER			
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			KOYAMA, KUMIKO C		
	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2009	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Carl	
10/030,252	YOSHIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kumiko C. Koyama	2876		

Before the I ming of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kumiko C. Koyama	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	corresponding number of finally rej		ine issues ioi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.i.a.i.i.	(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 14. Claim(s) objected to: 3. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-13 and 15-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will be	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.		•	
because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the application	Callott III Collation for	allowance
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	10(8).	
		MICHAEL G LEE	
		RVISORY PATENT E	
		ECHNOLOGY CENTER	2800

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/030,252

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. The Applicant submits that Dreifus in view of Kato does not teaches the recited claim limitation "state control means for halting the write and read processing on said buffer memory and said nonvolatile memory of said CPU." However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because Kato suggests that the access from the CPU to the data storage memory is halted, and such suggestion can be applied to Dreifus. Buffer memory and nonvolatile memory are data storage memories.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1, 2, 4-13 and 15-17 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Office Action mailed September 08, 2005.